Application No. 09/214,155

Applicant(s)

Hiroaki Takayama et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

iner

Sabiha Qazi

Group Art Unit 1616

(1) Sabiha Qazi	(3)
(2) Weddell Biggart	
Date of Interview	
Type: ☐ Personal (copy is given to	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description: ■
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed Examiner called several time to discuss the response.	to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Biggart did not returned the call.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the at the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where n is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	mendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. lt is not necessary for applicant to provide a s	eparate record of the substance of the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST I	cate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP on has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH TOF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections and required	ve (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to ments that may be present in the last Office action, and since the n is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is	s an attachment to a signed Office action.